

 Eskom	Strategy	Medupi Power Station
---	----------	----------------------

Title: Tender Evaluation Strategy  
Medupi Power Station Electrical  
General Consumables Spares  
Supply and Delivery

Unique Identifier:

Alternative Reference Number: N/A

Area of Applicability: Electrical  
Maintenance  
Department

Documentation Type: Strategy

Revision: 2

Total Pages: 10

Next Review Date: N/A

Disclosure Classification: CONTROLLED  
DISCLOSURE

Compiled by



Khotso Magaela  
Technician  
Electrical

Date: 2025/06/10

Functional Responsibility



Prince Twala  
Electrical Maintenance  
Manager (Acting)

Date: 2025/06/10

Authorised by



Portia Lutumbu  
Maintenance Manager Execution  
(Acting)

Date: 2025/06/12

## **CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES .....</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 SCOPE .....	3
2.1.1 Purpose .....	3
2.1.2 Applicability .....	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES .....	3
2.2.1 Normative .....	3
2.2.2 Informative .....	3
2.3 DEFINITIONS .....	4
2.3.1 Classification .....	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS .....	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES .....	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING .....	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS .....	4
<b>3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY .....</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD .....	5
3.2 TET MEMBERS .....	6
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA .....	7
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA .....	7
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES .....	8
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS .....	9
3.6.1 Risks .....	9
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions .....	9
<b>4. AUTHORISATION .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>5. REVISIONS .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>10</b>

## **TABLES**

Table 1: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria Scoring Table .....	5
Table 2: TET Members .....	6
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria .....	7
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities .....	8
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks .....	9
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks .....	9
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions .....	9
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions .....	9

## **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Supply and Delivery of Fuses as stock items at Medupi Power Station for a period of Five (5) years. An invite will be issued for prospective suppliers to participate in the tendering process for the said contract. This document provides an overview of Eskom's technical evaluation criteria to be used when evaluating the tender submissions for the supply and delivery of Fuses.

## **2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES**

### **2.1 SCOPE**

The document describes the acceptable and unacceptable risks and qualifications and /or conditions. The Tender Technical Evaluation Strategy will define the following technical evaluation criteria:

- Qualitative Evaluation criteria
- TET Member Responsibilities
- Acceptable/Unacceptable Qualifications

No changes will be permitted to be made to the evaluation criteria once the Technical Evaluation Strategy is approved by the relevant Maintenance Group Manager.

#### **2.1.1 Purpose**

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

#### **2.1.2 Applicability**

This strategy document applies to the Electrical Maintenance Department at Medupi Power Station Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd.

## **2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES**

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

### **2.2.1 Normative**

- [1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure
- [2] ISO 9001, Quality Management Systems.

### **2.2.2 Informative**

N/A

## **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

## **2.3 DEFINITIONS**

### **2.3.1 Classification**

**Controlled Disclosure:** Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

## **2.4 ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Description</b>
EMD	Electrical Maintenance Department
ISO	International Standard Organisation
CIDB	Construction Industry Development Board
N/A	Not Applicable
SOW	Scope of Work
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
ITP	Inspection Test Plan
OEM	Original Equipment Manufacture
SANS	South African National Standards
SANAS	South African National Accreditation System
SABS	South African Bureau standards
IEC	International Electro technical Commission
EP	Electrical Engineering Works – Infrastructure

## **2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

As per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

## **2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING**

- Suppliers site quality inspection by Eskom approved QC inspector
- Supply OEM approved documentation with warranties for Fuses
- Eskom site inspection for material Final QC check

## **2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

N/A

### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

### 3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

Table 1: Qualitative Evaluation Criteria Scoring Table

SCORE	%	DESCRIPTION
5	100	<b>COMPLIANT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meet technical requirement (s) AND;</li> <li>No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements.</li> </ul>
4	80	<b>COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meet technical requirement(s) with;</li> <li>Acceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR;</li> <li>Acceptable exceptions AND/OR;</li> <li>Acceptable conditions.</li> </ul>
2	40	<b>NON-COMPLIANT</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not meet technical requirement(s) AND/OR;</li> <li>Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR;</li> <li>Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR;</li> <li>Unacceptable conditions.</li> </ul>
0	0	<b>TOTALLY DEFICIENT OR NON-RESPONSIVE</b>

#### 3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 30%.

#### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

### **3.2 TET MEMBERS**

**Table 2:TET Members**

<b>TET number</b>	<b>TET Member Name</b>	<b>Designation</b>
TET 1	Xolani Mcawe	Technician-EMD
TET 2	Rejoice Mavutana	Senior Technician -EMD
TET 3	Prince Twala	Senior Supervisor Tech-EMD
TET 4	Khotso Magaela	Technician
TET 5	Vhutali Mandavha	Senior Technician-EMD
TET 6	Cathrine Hlanyane	Senior Technician- EMD

#### **CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE**

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

### 3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

N/A

### 3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

Qualitative Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (100%)	Scoring Criteria (%)
1. UNDERSTANDING OF REQUIRED SPARES	Tender Returnable	The service provider must provide a Min of 3 (Three) previous purchase order and reference letter/completion certificate for supply and delivery of Fuses/related electrical spares.	50%	50%=Compliant (3 PO's submitted) 40% = Compliant with associated qualifications (2 PO's submitted) 20% = non-compliant (1 PO Submitted) 0% =Totally deficient or non-responsive (nothing submitted)
2. ASSURANCE STATEMENT	Tender Returnable	State Lead time for delivery to site after Task Order received. Service provider to provide 3 PO's with corresponding delivery note signed by customer.	50%	50%=Compliant (3 PO'S Submitted with corresponding signed delivery note with lead time less than 15 days) 40% = Compliant with associated qualifications (2 PO'S Submitted with corresponding signed delivery note with lead time

				greater than 15 days but less than 30 days)
				20% = non-compliant (1 PO'S Submitted with corresponding signed delivery note with lead time greater than 30 days)
				0% =Totally deficient or non-responsive (nothing submitted)

### 3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 4	TET 5
N/A					
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3	TET 3	TET 5
1	X	x	X	X	X
2	X	X	X	X	X



3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.6.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Tendering without conducting site visit/s for plant walk-down/s.

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Failure to meet mandatory criteria requirement

3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Declining to provide technical details accurately deemed intellectual proprietary

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	Deviation from technical criteria requirements

#### 4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation
Cathrine Mathiyana	Senior Technician- EMD
Portia Lutumbu	EMD Manager
Khotso Magaela	Technician –EMD
Prince Twala	Senior Supervisor Tech –EMD
Rejoice Mavutana	Senior Technician- EMD
Vhutali Mandavha	Senior Technician- EMD

#### 5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
June 2025	2	KR Magaela	Revised
September 2023	1	KR Magaela	New Document

#### 6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

Xolani Mcawe

Prince Twala

Khotso Magaela

Rejoice Mavutana

Cathrine Mathiyana

Vhutali Mandavha

#### 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

#### CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.